## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3-29, 31, 46 and 48-50 are pending in the present application. No Claims have been amended, canceled or added. Thus, no new issues have been presented.

Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 11-14, 17, 18, 31-33, 37, 41 and 45. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating Claims 3-10, 15, 16, 22, 35, 36, 39, 40, 43, 44 and 50 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The 10/5/2005 Office Action rejected Claims 1, 19-21, 23-29, 34, 38, 42, 46, 48 and 49 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Sourour (U.S. Patent No. 6,865,218).

Applicants thank the Examiner for discussing Claim 1 and Sourour on 11/28/05 with Applicants. As discussed, Sourour discloses a method of reducing "multipath signal interference" (Abstract; Summary, col. 2, lines 49-54; col. 3, lines 18-30). For example, col. 2, lines 52-54 of Sourour states:

"The interference estimation RAKE function generates <u>multipath interference</u> estimates for each of the signals output by the primary RAKE fingers. After scaling and combining, all the <u>path interference</u> estimates are <u>subtracted</u> from the combined signal of interest to reduce multipath interference in the combined signal of interest."

Sourour does <u>not</u> disclose or teach "estimating <u>pilot</u> interference" and "canceling <u>pilot</u> interference," as recited in Applicants' Claim 1. Sourour mentions a "pilot channel" in col. 3, lines 40-45, but these lines describe "channel estimates that are readily updateable based on the pilot channel." These lines do not disclose or teach "canceling <u>pilot</u> <u>interference</u>," as recited in Applicants' Claim 1.

Sourour also mentions a "pilot channel" in col. 7, lines 7-15, but these lines describe estimating "propagation path characteristics." These lines do not disclose or teach "canceling <u>pilot interference</u>," as recited in Applicants' Claim 1.

For at least this reason, Claim 1 and all its dependent Claims should be allowable. Independent Claims 34, 38, 42 and 46 and their dependent Claims should also be allowable for this reason.

Attorney Docket No.: 010364

Customer No.: 23696

**PATENT** 

In addition, Sourour does not disclose or teach "accumulating estimated pilot interference

due to the plurality of signal instances in a buffer to provide a total pilot interference," as recited

in Applicants' Claim 1. The Office Action cited "summing circuit 218" in Fig. 7 of Sourour as

disclosing or teaching the "buffer" in Claims 1, 34, 38, 42 and 46. Sourour does not disclose that

"summing circuit 218" in Fig. 7 can "accumulat[e] estimated pilot interference due to the

plurality of signal instances," as recited in Claim 1. Thus, for at least this reason, independent

Claims 1, 34, 38, 42 and 46 and their dependent Claims should be allowable.

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all pending claims in the application are

Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly

solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the

undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 11/28/2005

Alex C. Chen, Reg. No. 45, 591

(858) 651-5363

**OUALCOMM** Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive

San Diego, California 92121 Telephone:

(858) 651-4125

Facsimile:

(858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 010364

Customer No.: 23696

15